

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/731,359	12/06/2000	John H. Jebens	29544/36981	8464
4743	7590 12/14/2006		EXAMINER	
	L, GERSTEIN & BORU	COLBER	COLBERT, ELLA	
233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300 SEARS TOWER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,		3694		
		•	DATE MAILED: 12/14/2006	5 ·

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/731,359	JEBENS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ella Colbert	3694			
D	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period fo	• •		·			
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is specially is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Se	eptember 2006.				
	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowar	this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
-	Claim(s) <u>104-112 and 121-126</u> is/are pending i	n the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· —	Claim(s) <u>104-112 and 121-126</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
-	The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 September 2006</u> is/a		ted to by the Examiner.			
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	•	• •			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
_	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	d in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau					
* S	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.			
	·					
Attachmen	• •	_				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🛛 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application			
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/11/05</u> .	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/731,359 Page 2

Art Unit: 3694

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 104-112 and 121-126 are pending. Claims 104 and 122 have been amended in this communication filed 09/25/06 entered as Request For Extension of Time, Response After Non-Final Action, and New or Additional Drawings. The IDS filed 10/11/05 has been considered and entered.

- 2. The Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121) has been overcome by Applicants' amendment and resubmission of the drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121 and is hereby withdrawn.
- 3. The Objection to the Specification has been overcome by the submission of a Substitute Specification and is hereby withdrawn.
- 4. The 35 USC 112 second paragraph rejections for claims 104, 108, 121, 122, and 125 have been withdrawn in view of the Applicants' convincing argument. However, claims 108, 111, 112, and 124 still remain rejected under 35 USC 112 second paragraph as set forth here below.

Claim Objections

5. Claim 104, line 23 is objected in view of the amendment to the claim because of the following informalities: Claim 104 recites "means for electronically routing the one of the ... to a". This line should recite "means for electronically routing one of the ... to a". Claims 111, 123, and 126 are in improper method claim format. Claims 111 in the last claim limitation recites "automatically electronically routing ...". This claim limitation should recite "routing automatically electronically ...". Claim 123 in limitations four and

Application/Control Number: 09/731,359 Page 3

Art Unit: 3694

six and claim 126 in limitations three and five have a similar problem. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 108, 111, 112, and 121-126 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: claim 108, page 3, lines 11 and 12 "... subset of the digital images to the first image provider". Claim 112 has a similar problem on page 4, line 7 and page 5, line 3; claim 124, page 7, lines 7 and 10 and page 8, line 5. Claim 111, page 4, lines 8 and 9 "the high resolution and low resolution copy of the digital image provided by the first image provider, the second digital image being transparent to the first image provider. Claims 121-123, 125, and 126 have similar 35 USC 112 issues.

Claims 104, 107, 109, and 110 are also rejected because of their dependency from a rejected base claim.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 09/25/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Issue no. 1: Applicants' argue: The Office Action rejects claims 108, 111, 112, and 124 on page 5 as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements' and the Applicants' respectfully traverse this

Art Unit: 3694

rejection has been considered but is not persuasive. Response: Claim 108 lines 11 and 12 recite "provider ... copies of the subset to the first image provider". This recitation leaves the reader wondering at to "subset" of what "to the first image provider". This line should recite "provider ... copies of the subset of the digital images to the first image provider". Claims 111, 112, and 124 still have a similar problem as rejected under 35 USC 112 second paragraph.

Issue no. 2: Applicants' argue: Fredlund does not teach of suggest the capability to identify additional authorized users and Morris does not disclose a sharing system in which the image provider may identify additional authorized users has been considered but is not persuasive. Response: Applicants' argument "may identify" is not a positive argument recitation and if it were to be incorporated into the claim limitations would result in a 35 USC 112 second paragraph rejection. Further, the "identifying additional authorized users" and "a sharing system in which the image provider identifies additional authorized users" is not interpreted as being disclosed or suggested in the claim limitations of the rejected claims.

Applicants' have 35 USC 112 second paragraph problems in the claims and the application cannot be issued until these issues are resolved.

Inquiries

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ella Colbert whose telephone number is 571-272-6741. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, and Thursday, 5:30AM-3:00PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/731,359 Page 5

Art Unit: 3694

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 571-272-6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

December 7, 2006